Brief summary of the 3 papers discussed in the First Dialogue

- Two papers on pandemic control systems
  - Public health issues
    - Experience with H1N1
  - Issues we need to be concerned about
    - Need for a surveillance and response system
    - Effective utilization of data
    - Systems only tested in emergencies, do not work properly
    - Are we spending enough?
Third paper (mine)

- One paper on health care provision and financing
  - More on financing than provision
  - Discusses Chilean system and whether it is «exportable» or not.
- A Mandatory insurance system with a pillar for the poor
  - Does it work for poorer countries?
  - Is it too expensive?
Some thoughts

- Make markets work for you
  - Use prices for the better off
- Subsidies can be explicit or implicit
  - Key is whether you want to guarantee treatment
    - Which treatments you want to guarantee (AUGE)
- Structure the insurance system in such a way that the public sector can concentrate on the poor
Lessons from first dialogue

- Too many topics
  - If yes, then which?
    - Public health?
    - HC financing?
    - HC provision?
    - Other?
- Very little time
- More selective on topics?
- More time?
  - Other forms of discussion?
Lessons....?

- Do we want to concentrate on the current problems?
- Or anticipate problems of countries growing at great speed?
  - Do we think ahead on the needs of the middle class?
  - Politically key
Conclusion

- I propose to discuss how to best push the dialogue forward by focusing on:
  - Selection of the Topics to Discuss
  - The Modality of the Discussion
  - The Time Allocated to the Discussion
- My personal vote is to:
  - concentrate on the future
    - Discuss what the health system should look like in 10/20 years time.
  - Have virtual discussion preceding the actual meeting
  - Have more time for the discussion at the meeting