

# **Financial Markets of Emerging Economies**

**Part I: Do Foreign Investors Contribute to their Volatility?**

**Part II: Is there Contagion from Mature Markets?**

Martin T. Bohl

Westfälische Wilhelms-University Münster, Germany

---

## Part I: Investor Types

- Four types of stock market investors:
  - Individual domestic and foreign investors: private investment decisions
  - Institutional domestic and foreign investors: mutual funds, insurance companies, pension funds, commercial banks
- Foreign investors:
  - Mainly institutional investors → use emerging markets to diversify portfolios, follow active or passive portfolio investment strategies
  - International portfolio diversification implies reduction of risk for investors

## **Part I: Foreign Investors and Asian Crisis in 1997 (I)**

- Asian “Flu” in 1997:
  - Crisis in East Asia started with Thailand in June 1997
  - Most remarkable collapse in Hong Kong in October 1997
  - Korea in December 1997: a dollar invested on October 1 would have been worth 35 cents on the last trading day of 1997
  - Across Asia: asset price drop, speculative runs, capital flight, financial instability
  
- Reactions to Asian crisis:
  - Popular view: foreign investors destabilize emerging capital markets
  - Foreign investors partly responsible for collapse of currencies and stock markets

---

## Part I: Foreign Investors and Asian Crisis in 1997 (II)

- Reactions to Asian crisis (continued):
  - Policymakers concerns: foreign investors withdraw their capital in case of a crisis and profit at the expense of domestic investors
  - Emerging countries are more vulnerable to extreme fluctuations in international capital flows relative to mature markets
  - Greater regulation of capital flows to emerging markets than before needed
  - Benefits from financial opening capital markets to foreign investors overcompensated by difficulties they are generating
  - Financial liberalization → increase in foreign investors' activity → liberalization implies more difficulties than benefits

## Part I: Investment Behavior of Foreign Investors (I)

- Destabilizing investment behavior by foreign institutional investors:
  - Stock prices overreact to changes in fundamental values → stock prices contain a non-fundamental component → speculative bubbles in stock prices, autocorrelation and excess volatility in stock returns
  - Herding behavior: investment decisions are correlated, buy and sell decisions on the same stocks at the same time → destabilizing because foreign investors trade as a group ending on the same side of the market
  - Positive feedback trading: buy stocks after their price increased and sell stocks after a price decline → destabilizing due to reinforcement of non-fundamental stock price changes

## Part I: Investment Behavior of Foreign Investors (II)

- Stabilizing investment behavior by foreign institutional investors:
  - Herding and positive feedback trading do not necessarily destabilize stock prices
  - Herding behavior stabilizes, if institutions herd and all react to the same fundamental information → speed up adjustment of stock prices to new information
  - Moreover, stabilizing effect in case institutions collectively counter irrational behavior in individual investors' sentiment
  - In case institutional investors are better informed than individual ones, institutions are likely to herd to undervalued stocks and away from overvalued stocks
  - Positive feedback trading is stabilizing, if institutional investors under-react to news

---

## Part I: Investment Behavior of Foreign Investors (III)

- Stabilizing investment behavior by foreign institutional investors (continued):
  - Institutional investors do not exhibit attention-based trading on days of abnormally high trading volume, extremely high and low stock returns and when stocks are in the news → in contrast, individual investors do
  - Stabilizing effect even if institutions herd and act as positive feedback traders
- Informational advantage of institutional investors:
  - Institutions are informed traders making the stock market more efficient
  - Informational advantage: economies of scale in information acquisition and processing, marginal costs of gathering are lower relative to individual investors

---

## Part I: Investment Behavior of Foreign Investors (IV)

- Informational advantage of institutional investors (continued):
  - Foreign institutional investors have better access to expertise and talent than local investors
  - Smarter investment decisions relative to local investors



## Part I: Evidence from Korea during Asian Crisis in 1997

- Choe, Kho and Stulz (*JFE* 1999):
  - Empirical investigation how foreign investors trade and impact stock prices before and during the Korean crisis in 1997
  - Before Korean crisis: herding and positive feedback trading
  - During the crisis: herding and positive feedback trading of foreign investors to a much lesser extent
  - No convincing evidence that foreign investors play a destabilizing role in Korean stock market before and during the crisis
  - Karolyi (*PBFJ* 2002): confirmation for Japan during Asian crisis → foreign investors do not destabilize stock prices

---

## Part II: Contagion from Mature to Emerging Markets

- Description of phenomenon:
  - Large drop of stock returns in one country is associated with a large drop in another country
  - Transmission of large stock market shocks from a crisis-country to other countries → crisis in mature countries and reaction of emerging countries
  - Spillovers can be observed through co-movements of different financial indices
  - Forbes and Rigobon (*JF* 2002) distinguish between interdependence and contagion

## Part II: Defining Contagion

- Difference between contagion and interdependence:
  - Contagion requires a change in the structure of stock market linkages
  - Increase in cross-market linkages during the crisis must be significant → contagion
  - Non-significant change in cross-market linkage → interdependence
- Contagion:
  - Excessive transmission of shocks from one crisis stock market to others, beyond fundamental financial links which constitute interdependence
  - Large enough parallel movements so that correlation is truly increasing in crises

## Implication of Contagion Definition

- Questionable evidence based on correlation coefficients:
  - Empirical methods measuring contagion are based on cross-market correlation coefficient estimates
  - Correlation coefficients rise automatically during crises due to higher volatility
  - Rise in correlation does not necessarily imply contagion as defined above
- Methodological suggestion: Usage of volatility adjusted correlation coefficients (Forbes and Rigobon (*JF* 2002), Corsetti, Pericoli and Sbracia (*JIMF* 2005)) → arbitrary selection of stable and crises period needed

## Part II: Empirical Investigations on Contagion (I)

- Loretan and Englisch (*BIS* 2000):
  - Correlations increase in periods of market turbulence due to higher volatility but true correlations remain constant
  - Consequence: Non contagion, only interdependence between financial markets
- Forbes and Rigobon (*JF* 2002):
  - Cross-market correlation coefficients are biased due to changing volatility
  - Heteroskedasticity adjusted correlation coefficient: interdependence, no contagion

## Part II: Empirical Investigations on Contagion (II)

- Serwa and Bohl (*ES* 2005):
  - Empirical investigation of 17 stock markets: U.S., selected markets in Latin America, largest stock markets in Central Eastern European countries, selected markets in West Europe, Hong Kong and South Korea
  - Investigation of 7 crises: crises in Asia (1997), Russia (1998), Brazil (1998), Turkey (1999), Argentina (2002), U.S. (2001, 2002)
  - Result: above implication holds also for emerging markets → emerging capital markets are not more vulnerable to external shocks than mature stock markets
  - Finding for Hong Kong: strong linkages to mature stock markets but no evidence of contagion

## Part II: Empirical Investigations on Contagion (III)

- Chiang, Jeon and Li (*JIMF* 2007):
  - Empirical investigation of 8 Asian stock markets seriously affected by the 1997 Asian financial crisis: TH, MA, IN, the PH, KO, TW, HK and SG
  - Dynamic multivariate GARCH model to address heteroskedasticity problem without arbitrarily dividing the sample into a stable and a crises sub-sample
  - First result: evidence of contagion effects during Asian financial crisis → in contrast to the “no contagion, only interdependence” findings mentioned above
  - Second result: first phase (July – November 1997) contagion spreading from country to country, second phase (end 1997 – through 1998) herding behavior among investors across Asian countries

---

## Summary and Conclusion (I)

- Discussion of two topics:
  - Foreign institutional investors' contribution to volatility in emerging stock markets
  - Contagion from mature to emerging stock markets
  
- Impact of foreign investors:
  - Herding behavior and positive feedback trading seem to characterize investment behavior of foreign traders in emerging stock markets
  - Nevertheless, no convincing empirical evidence that foreign investors destabilize stock prices



## Summary and Conclusion (II)

- Contagion from mature stock markets:
  - Evidence of strong linkages between emerging and mature stock markets
  - “No contagion, only interdependence” result questionable given new empirical findings