Financial Safety Nets: complementarities between regional and global FSN

Presentation by Valerie Rouxel-Laxton, Head of Sector, Countries of the G20 European Commission 17 September 2010, Mumbai



Regional financial safety nets are rare

Regional FSN are not widespread Regional FSN are relatively recent (e.g. EFSM, CMIM)

So far, debate has focused on FSN & self-insurance...now this focus is shifting. Issues:

What are the specificities of a regional financial safety net? (club)

When are regional safety nets an alternative? (cost / benefits)

What could be the optimal design? (pre-requisites)

Can the positive effects be exploited further?

→ Availability/accessibility

What is the role of regional FSNs in the global architecture?

→ As confidence-builders



Overview of European attempts at designing a regional FSN

First line of defence:

stability-oriented macroeconomic and financial policies / crisis-prevention instruments

Second line of defence:

- -1- Balance of Payments assistance
- -2- European Financial Stability Framework/Mechanism
- -3- Implementation issues at regional level
- -4- towards a more permanent crisis resolution mechanism?



Is a European Monetary Fund what Europe needs?

-Pros and cons of establishing an EMF

- → including penalties
- → conditionality
- → enforcement
- → confidence-building

-Key questions:

- → would it help to push through more stringent adjustment? (question of independence)
- → what would make an EMF the optimal set-up for Europe? (question of political leverage)



Pre-requisites for the articulation between the regional and global level...

- -Common goal is to provide sufficient additional liquidity when needed → complement existing international financial arrangements
- Governing mechanisms need to be in place in the region → implement and enforce
- Suveillance function is crucial → analyse, monitor, recommend, apply preventive / corrective measures
- →Advantages of the regional level: e.g. draw on local expertise and governance/working methods, strengthen peer pressure and facilitate mutual surveillance.
- →Coordination and cooperation between the regional and global level may be difficult, but is achievable



...and for the cooperation between regional and global to be beneficial

- -Joint programmes
- -Equal footing in negotiating the programmes
- -Analysis and communication need to be aligned
- -Swift turnaround time is a must
- -Conditionality: consistent with existing regional policy framework
- →Ensure effective assistance
- →Build a credible and robust mechanism



In practice, global-regional relationship needs to be reinforced

- Reserve accumulation: can global FSN ultimately substitute the regional level
- Moral hazard: more complex, make use of existing instruments from the regional framework, for more leverage (e.g. conditionality)
- Stigma: reputation-building, requires strong regional policies (need to strengthen macro-financial surveillance at regional level)
- Market behaviour: confidence-building, EBCI make use of the particularly high stakes for the private sector in a regional setting

G20 achievements so far; next step is to focus on relations between global and regional financing arrangements



Complementarities between regional and global FSNs

Global Safety Net: many open issues

- →IMF's evolving tools: recent IMF agreement on FCL and
- PCL: further attempts at fixing the system
- → How to mitigate moral hazard?
- → Financial implications of a more comprehensive global FSN?
- →need to strengthen macro-financial surveillance at global level (esp. interlinkages and possible spillovers between regions, capital flows)
- → principle of subsidiarity needs to remain a key feature of International monetary system

