Securing low-carbon growth strategies in India: Understanding potential policy incentives and barriers through expert analysis

VENKATESH DUTTA, NATHAN HULTMAN

SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND PURNAMITA DASGUPTA

INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC GROWTH, DELHI

July 30, 2012/ICRIER, New Delhi



MacArthur Foundation **Stakeholders Conference: Strategic & Economic Capacity Building Programme**

Aspirations of India's climate and development policy

Climate Policy

- NAPCC targets & missions: securing energy & resources, arresting ecosystems degradation and climate change
 - Adaptive capacity & mitigations
- Commitment of reducing emission intensity of economy
- Advocating UNFCCC's Article 2 and Article 3.1 (C-DR)

Development Policy

- Sustaining a rapidly developing economy
 - Macro-economic Issues
 - Globalisation and International Trade
- Inclusive Growth
- Poverty alleviations

India's emission outlook

- Emits 4.9% of global GHG e-, lowest per-capita in the world -1.18 tonnes of CO₂e, 1/4th of global average of 4.38 tCO₂e, less than 1/10th of those of most developed nations.
- India becomes third largest emitter around 2015. However, India's contribution in global cumulative emission from 1900-2005 is only 2% (China- 16%, US 25%, EU27-18%).
- CO₂ emission jumps from 27 Gt to 42 Gt (Reference Scenario) from 2005 to 2030 globally. China and India account for 56% of this increase (IEA, 2010).
 - In the alternate scenario (efficiency improvements, structural changes in economy and fuel-switching), it becomes around 34 Gt in 2030.
 - India reduces e- by 0.9 Gt.
- In 2030, per-capita e-will double from 2005 level, but will remain only 1/5th of the OECD (WRI, 2012).

Development reality

- Raising QoL of almost half a billion people to decent levels
 - 1/3rd of global poor
 - 300 million survive on less than 1\$ a day, 69% people make only 2\$ a day (World Bank, 2012)
- Energy 'quality' and 'access' is a big challenge
 - Per-capita use of electricity is 700 kwh, less than 1/4th of global average of 2752 kwh
 - Bridging the demand-supply gap 400 million no electricity, peak dd deficit of 13%, T&D losses 30%
 - need 300GW in next 5 years
- Dependence on imported fossil-fuels up by 40% at the end of 12th FYP

Expert opinion on low-carbon inclusive growth in India

Section 1	General issues
Section 2	Inclusive growth and low-carbon development
Section 3	Domestic actions and capacity
Section 4	Barriers to low carbon growth
Section 5	International partnerships and support
Section 6	Policy options for low-carbon growth

Senior experts from following organizations were interviewed: DFID, MoEF, TERI, CII, FICCI, Winrock International India, CSTEP, DHI, Prayas, Ecolibrium)

(Government: 12%; Research and Policy think tanks: 36%, Academia: 32%, Industries: 20%)

Inclusive growth

- There is no consensus on definition of inclusive growth
 - The concept is vaguely described, and there are no guidelines as to how it can be made operational.
 - the contribution of low-carbon initiatives being undertaken on inclusive growth is currently unclear.

 Low-carbon initiatives don't sufficiently exploit cobenefit opportunities of climate mitigation and inclusive growth

Development vs Climate Change

- More than one third of the experts felt that poverty and lack of basic infrastructure are the top two most serious problems constraining India's growth.
- About 63% of the respondents feel that India should first focus on developmental needs regardless of restricting carbon space as development needs are huge.
- About 16% respondents feel that a determined effort to bring down carbon intensity will impose a high cost burden on India's economy and constrain growth.
 - 21% remain neutral and 63% disagree.

Low-carbon inclusive growth

- About 73% of the respondents believe that lowcarbon growth priorities can be integrated with inclusive growth for better development outcomes.
 - 27% respondents feel that it is better to focus them separately rather than integrate into one objective.
- About 60 % respondents feel that investment in lowcarbon initiatives will bring about poverty reduction
 - 21% feel that it will reduce poverty more than marginally.
 - Close to 16% of respondents think that investment in LC will not cause poverty reduction.

Institutional set-up to deal with climate actions

- Only 5% of the respondents observe that local bodies and city governments have adequate institutional set-up to deal with climate responsive strategies/actions.
 - 58% respondents felt there is insufficient institutional set up, while 32% feel that there is no institutional set up at all.
- Institutional crisis will expose the climate governance offering a chance for retrofitting and renewal.

Current levels of climate actions and programs being undertaken by different stakeholders



Barriers to low-carbon growth

А	High cost of cleaner and new technology – capital and O&M
В	Limited access to private capital
С	Split incentives – cost/benefit mismatch
D	Cleaner and new technology has negative externalities
Е	Lack of clear regulation
F	Inadequate institutional mechanisms
G	CDM institutions are overburdened
н	Lack of knowledge and awareness about the seriousness of the problem

Coalition matrix



International partnership and support

- 47% of the respondents disagree on a treaty that requires India to legally cut its emissions at the end of the second commitment period (2017).
 - 32% remain neutral while 21% support on binding agreements at the end of Kyoto's 2nd comm. period
- 80% of respondents support India's position on getting financial and technical assistance from Annex 1 countries on the basis of historic climate burden and equity.

Performance of international partnership and support in India's GHG mitigation efforts



Top low-carbon options that India should adopt considering inclusive growth targets and carbon space requirements in the future







Figure: Weights of criteria for evaluation of the transport policy



A1: improving the emission standards and fuelefficiency (miles/liter) of new vehiclesA2: introduction of carbon-efficient electric and hybrid vehicles

A3: promoting congestion pricing to reduce traffic volume/density

A4: parking regulation through enhanced fees/zoning

A5: raising road taxes and emission taxesdepending upon the vehicle typeA6: better and affordable public transport such as metro rails and metro buses

A7: better transport corridors within the urban city and non-urban inter-city transport
A8: better integration of transport planning and land-use zoning, scheduling and space coordination

A9: developing bicycle lane in new urban areas and promoting non-motorised transport (NMT)A10: shifting fright transport from road to railways

Figure : Relative priorities for alternate policy options for reducing emission and energy intensity in transport sector as well as promoting inclusive growth

Conclusions

- India's low-carbon intensity in part is due to
 - Inherently low-carbon lifestyle patterns, high use of NMT and public transport, energy and infrastructure poverty
- India's energy-related emissions are basically subsistence emissions and need to grow to alleviate poverty and raise QoL standards
 - BAU trends don't favour inclusive growth.
 - Low-carbon initiatives don't sufficiently exploit cobenefit opportunities of climate mitigation and inclusive growth

- The trends of declining carbon and energy intensity will continue, however, weakened by unsustainable patterns of development
 - High growth of private vehicles, western life styles and models of growth, persistent inefficiency in the electricity supply
- Some promising initiatives have been taken to initiate low-carbon growth –
 - RES, EE, demand management
 - Sizable potential for low-carbon growth is yet untapped

Low-carbon inclusive growth can reduce poverty and inequality



Thank you!

dvenks@gmail.com